

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,487	08/30/2004	T V L N Sivakumar	59643.00486	4474
32294 7590 05/07/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			AJAYI, JOEL	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,487	SIVAKUMAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joel Ajayi	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after t he mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		,	
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/are: a)         Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.     </li> </ul>	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority.

Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a-d) is acknowledged.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement submitted on 6/30/04 has been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2617

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansfield (U.S. Patent Number: 6,704,346) in view of Sugar et al. (U.S. Patent Application Number: 2002/0061031).

Consider claim 1; Mansfield clearly discloses a method of operating a frequency hopping spread spectrum comprising a central node (master device) and dependent nodes (slave device) which communicate over a time division duplexed, frequency hopping channel, alternate time-wise frequency/time slots being allocated for central node and dependent node transmission, wherein a first of said dependent nodes is not permitted to transmit in a frequency/time slot which immediately succeeds, time-wise, a frequency/time slot in which the central node transmitted to another of said dependent nodes (column 3, lines 1-25; column 4, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 38-42; column 7, lines 28-60), comprising the steps of: the central node maintaining a black-list of worse-performing frequency bands in the channel, and transmitting in a frequency/time slot immediately preceding, time-wise, a frequency/time slot allocated for possible dependent node transmission at a frequency band which is black-listed (column 3, lines 1-25; column 4, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 38-42; column 7, lines 28-60).

Except:

Transmitting a dummy packet.

In the same field of endeavor Sugar discloses transmitting a dummy packet (paragraph 7, lines 1-24; paragraph 57, lines 13-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Sugar into the method of Mansfield in order to improve system throughput and reliability of the wireless networks.

Consider claim 3; Mansfield clearly discloses a Bluetooth node comprising means for maintaining a black-list of worse performing frequency bands, and means for transmitting in a frequency/time slot immediately preceding, time-wise, a frequency/time slot allocated for possible slave node transmission at a frequency band which is black-listed (column 3, lines 1-25; column 4, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 38-42; column 7, lines 28-60).

Except:

Transmitting a dummy packet.

In the same field of endeavor Sugar discloses transmitting a dummy packet (paragraph 7, lines 1-24; paragraph 57, lines 13-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Sugar into the method of Mansfield in order to improve system throughput and reliability of the wireless networks.

Consider claims 2 and 4; the combination above clearly discloses that the central node refrains from transmitting on a black-listed frequency/time slot (Mansfield, column 3, lines 1-25; column 4, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 38-42; column 7, lines 28-60; Sugar, paragraph 7, lines 1-24; paragraph 57, lines 13-18).

#### Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Application/Control Number: 10/500,487

Art Unit: 2617

70011101 **14**0111561. 107500,40

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joel Ajayi whose telephone number is (571) 270-1091. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Joel Ajayi

April 28, 2007

NICK CORSARO EXAMINER
NICK CORSARO EXAMINER
NICK CORSARO EXAMINER
2600